

009

Incidence and impact of near-miss events during continuous renal replacement therapy in critically ill patients

Rogério da Hora Passos¹, Isabela Argollo Ferreira¹,
Bruno Zawadsky², Fernanda Oliveira Coelho²

¹ Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

² DaVita Tratamento Renal, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

Category: Nefrology

DOI: 10.31744/einstein_journal/2024ABS_EISIC_MV009

Corresponding author

rogerio.passos@einstein.br

Introduction: Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy (CRRT) is essential for managing acute kidney injury (AKI) in critically ill patients.⁽¹⁻⁴⁾ However, the complexity of CRRT increases the risk of near-miss events—incidents that could cause harm but are intercepted before actual damage occurs.⁽⁵⁾ This study investigates the incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of near-miss events during CRRT in a tertiary ICU setting. **Methods:** A retrospective cohort study was conducted over 24 months in the ICU of a tertiary care hospital. Data from 200 adult patients requiring CRRT for AKI were analyzed. Near-miss events, defined as incidents intercepted before causing harm, were identified and correlated with patient outcomes using descriptive statistics, multivariate logistic regression, and χ^2 tests. **Results:** Among 900 CRRT sessions, 150 near-miss events were recorded, yielding an incidence rate of

16.7 events per 100 sessions. Common near-miss events included circuit clotting (40%), electrolyte disturbances (30%), incorrect fluid balance adjustments (20%), and equipment malfunctions (10%). Key risk factors included higher APACHE II scores (OR=1.12), sepsis (OR=2.45), prolonged CRRT duration (OR=1.08 per 24 hours), and inadequate anticoagulation (OR=3.15). Patients experiencing near-miss events had higher ICU mortality (62% *versus* 32%), longer ICU stays (mean 14.2 *versus* 10.5 days), prolonged mechanical ventilation (mean 9.8 *versus* 6.7 days), and lower renal recovery rates (25% *versus* 40%). **Conclusion:** Near-miss events during CRRT are common and significantly impact patient outcomes. Enhanced monitoring, better anticoagulation protocols, and improved staff training are crucial to mitigating these risks and improving patient safety.

REFERENCES

1. Uchino S, Kellum JA, Bellomo R, Doig GS, Morimatsu H, Morgera S, Schetz M, Tan I, Bouman C, Macedo E, Gibney N, Tolwani A, Ronco C; Beginning and Ending Supportive Therapy for the Kidney (BEST Kidney) Investigators. Acute renal failure in critically ill patients: a multinational, multicenter study. *JAMA*. 2005;294(7):813-8.
2. Ricci Z, Ronco C, D'Amico G, De Felice R, Rossi S, Bolgan I, et al. Practice patterns in the management of acute renal failure in the critically ill patient: an international survey. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2006;21(3):690-6.
3. Goldstein SL, Chawla LS. Renal angina. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2010;5(5):943-9. Review.
4. Uchino S. The epidemiology of acute renal failure in the world. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2006;12(6):538-43. Review.
5. Mehta RL, McDonald B, Gabbai FB, Pahl M, Pascual MT, Farkas A, Kaplan RM; Collaborative Group for Treatment of ARF in the ICU. A randomized clinical trial of continuous versus intermittent dialysis for acute renal failure. *Kidney Int*. 2001;60(3):1154-63.